

International Journal of Education and Science Research

Review

Volume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

www.ijesrr.org

Email- editor@ijesrr.org

**ISSN 2348-6457** 

## AN INVENTORY MODEL FOR DECAYING ITEMS HAVING STOCK DEPENDENT DEMAND UNDER THE EFFECT OF INFLATION WITH PARTIAL BACK LOGGING

SANDEEP KUMAR IIMT University Meerut

### ABSTRACT

Inventory models in which the demand rates depends on the inventory level are based on the common real- life observations that greater product availability tends to stimulate more sales. This study comprises a situation in which items deteriorates and are having stock dependent demand rate. In this study, we present an optimization framework to derive an optimal ordering quantity for items with a stock dependent demand rate under inflationary conditions and partial backlogging.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

In many real life situations, especially for fashionable commodities and seasonal products, the willingness for customers to wait for back logging during a shortage period is declining with the length of the waiting time. The longer the waiting time is, the smaller the back logging rate would be. Abad (1996) considered the pricing and lot-sizing problem of perishable products for a reseller with a general decreasing partial back logging rate. A stock out occurs whenever insufficient stock exists to fulfill a replenishment order. During the stock out period, either all the demand is back ordered, in which all customers wait until their demand is satisfied; or all the demand is lost. However, in many real inventory systems, demand can be captive partially, for customers whose needs are not crucial at that time can wait for the item to be replenished, while others who can not wait will fill their demands from some other sources. The cost for a lost sale ranges from profit loss on the sale to some unspecifiable loss of good will. On the other hand, the back ordering could result in handling cost, expediting cost, and frequently special shipping cost to reduce the lead time. In order to compensate customers for the inconvenience of waiting, the idleness of equipment, or even lost production during the stock out period, the supplier may offer a variable price discount on the stock out item depending on the seriousness of the back order condition. Thus both are the backorder discount and the lead time appear to be negotiable in such a way that the supplier may cut down the present and future profit losses and the customers may be able to get the item as soon as possible to resume the production.

Chang and Dye (1999) recently developed an inventory model in which the proportion of customers who would like to accept back logging is the reciprocal of a linear function of the waiting time. Concurrently, Papachristos and S. Kouri (2000) established a partially backlogged inventory model with deterministic varying demand and constant deterioration rate, in which the backlogging rate decreases exponentially as the waiting time increases. Later, several related articles were presented, dealing with such inventory problem, such as Abad (2001), Goyal and Giri (2001), Papachristos and Skouri (2003), Teng et. al. (2002, 2003), Wang (2002).

In the present model we consider the perishable items under the effect of Inflation with partial backlogging. This model will help purchaser in deciding optimal ordering quantity under the effect of inflation and partial lost, since demand is stock dependent demand, the demand increases with the increase of stock. The environment of the whole study has been taken as inflationary, as any study done otherwise cannot justify itself under any circumstances.

### ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The mathematical model in this paper is developed on the basis of the following assumptions and limitations.

# International Journal of Education and Science Research Review www.ijesrr.org Volume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

(1) The demand rate D(t) at time t is

$$\mathsf{D}(\mathsf{t}) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{t}) & \mathsf{I}(\mathsf{t}) > \mathsf{0} \\ \alpha & \alpha(\mathsf{t}) \le \mathsf{0} \end{cases}$$

Where a and b are positive constants and I(t) is the inventory level at time t.

(2)  $CVT(t_1, T)$  is the total variable cost per unit time.

(3) We have assumed that the lead-time is zero and replenishment rate is infinite.

(4) It is assumed that only a fraction of demand is backlogged. The longer the waiting time is, the smaller is the backlogging. B(t) denote this backlogging rate, where t is the waiting time up to the next

replenishment.  $B(t) = \frac{1}{(1 + \delta t)}$ , Where  $\delta$  is the backlogging parameter

# NOTATIONS

The mathematical model in this paper is developed on the basis of the following notations.

- $t_d$  = length of time in which the product has no deterioration.
- $\theta$  = Constant rate of deterioration.
- $t_1$  = length of time (there is no shortage)
- T = length of order cycle.
- Q = order quantity per cycle.
- A = ordering cost per order
- $C_1$  = holding cost per unit time
- $C_2$  = deteriorating cost per unit.
- $C_3$  = shortage cost per backlogged items,
- $C_4 =$  unit cost of lost sales.

### MATHEMATICAL MODEL

In this model we have consider replenishment model for items with constant deterioration rate and partial back logging. In this model is stock dependent demand is considered. Initially we have  $I_{max}$  units of items. During the time period  $[0, t_d]$ . The inventory level is decreasing only owing to stock-dependent demand rate. Inventory is depleted by demand as well as deterioration during the time interval  $(t_d, t_1)$ . The mathematical set up of the given inventory model is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{l}_{1}(t)}{dt} = -\left[\alpha + \beta \mathbf{l}_{1}(t)\right], \qquad 0 \le t \le t_{d}$$
(1)
$$Or \quad \frac{d\mathbf{l}_{1}(t)}{dt} + \beta \mathbf{l}_{1}(t) = -\alpha$$

Solution with the boundary conditions t = 0,  $I_{max} = I_1(t) = I_1(0)$  is given by

$$I_{1}(t)e^{\beta t} = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}e^{\beta t} + I_{max} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

$$I_{1}(t)e^{\beta t} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(1 - e^{\beta t}) + I_{max}$$

$$I_{1}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}(e^{\beta t} - 1) + I_{max}e^{-\beta t} , \qquad 0 \le t \le t_{d}$$
(2)

Inventory is depleted by demand as well as deterioration during the time interval  $[t_d, t_1]$ . Thus, the differential equation representing the inventory status is given by

$$\frac{d\mathbf{l}_{2}(t)}{dt} + \theta\mathbf{l}_{2}(t) = -[\alpha + \beta\mathbf{l}_{2}(t)], \quad t_{d} \le t \le t_{1}.$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{l}_{2}(t)}{dt} + (\theta + \beta)\mathbf{l}_{2}(t) = -\alpha$$
(3)

### International Journal of Education and Science Research Review www.ijesrr.org Volume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

The solution of the given differential equation with the boundary condition when  $t = t_1$ ,  $I_2(t) = 0$  is given by

$$I_{2}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\theta + \beta} \left[ e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_{1} - t)} - 1 \right]$$
(.4)

Continuity of I(t) at  $t = t_d$ , from equation (4.2) and (4.4) one can get

$$I_{1}(t_{d}) = e^{-\beta td} I_{max} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (1 - e^{-\beta t_{d}}) = \frac{\alpha}{\theta + \beta} \left[ e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_{1} - t_{d})} - 1 \right]$$

Implies that maximum inventory level for each cycle is

$$\mathbf{I}_{\max} = \frac{\alpha}{(\theta + \beta)} \Big[ \mathbf{e}^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)} - \mathbf{1} \Big] \mathbf{e}^{\beta t_d} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Big( \mathbf{e}^{\beta t_d} - \mathbf{1} \Big)$$
(5)

putting the value equation (4.5) into equation (4.2), we get

$$I_{1}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{(\theta + \beta)} \Big[ e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_{1} - t_{d})} - 1 \Big] e^{-\beta(t - t_{d})} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Big[ e^{-\beta(t - t_{d})} - 1 \Big], \qquad 0 \le t \le t_{d} .(6)$$

During the shortage, demand is partially backlogged. Thus the inventory level at time t is given by the following differential equation

$$\frac{dI_{3}(t)}{dt} = -\alpha\beta(T-t) = -\frac{\alpha}{1+\delta(T-t)}, t_{1} \le t \le T$$

$$\frac{dI_{3}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\alpha}{[1+\delta(T-t]]}$$

$$dI_{3}(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{[1+\delta(T-t]]}dt$$

$$I_{3}(t) = -\alpha \frac{\ln[1+\delta(T-t]]}{-\delta} + c$$

$$I_{3}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\delta}\ln[1+\delta(T-t]] + c$$
(7)

with boundary conditions  $t = t_1$ ,  $I_3(t_1) = 0$ 

$$I_{3}(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{\delta} \ln[1 + \delta(T - t_{1})] + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \ln[1 + \delta(T - t)]$$

$$I_{3}(t) = -\frac{\alpha}{\delta} \left\{ \ln[1 + \delta(T - t_{1})] - \ln[1 + \delta(T - t)] \right\}, \quad t_{1} \le t \le T$$
(8)

Putting t=T in equation (8), the maximum amount of demand backlogged per cycle we get

$$\mathbf{S} = -\mathbf{I}_{3}(\mathbf{T}) = \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \ln \left[ 1 + \delta(\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{t}_{1}) \right]$$
(.9)

The order quantity, Q from equation (5) and (9)

 $Q = I_{max} + S$ 

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\theta + \beta} \left[ \mathbf{e}^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)} - \mathbf{1} \right] \mathbf{e}^{\beta t_d} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (\mathbf{e}^{\beta t_d} - \mathbf{1}) + \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \ln \left[ \mathbf{1} + \delta (\mathbf{T} - t_1) \right]$$
(10)

The total variable cost per cycle consists of the following five elements:

- (a) The ordering cost per cycle is A
- (b) The inventory holding cost per cycle we get

$$Hc = c_1 \left[ \int_{0}^{t_d} (1+rt) I_1(t) dt + \int_{t_d}^{t_1} (1+rt) I_2(t) dt \right]$$

International Journal of Education and Science Research Reviewwww.ijesrr.orgVolume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

$$\begin{split} H_{C} &= c_{1} \left[ \sum_{0}^{t_{1}} (1+rt) \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\theta+\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - 1) \right\} dt \\ &+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} (1+rt) \frac{\alpha}{\theta+\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) dt \right] \\ &= c_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - 1) \right\} dt \\ &+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} (1+rt) \frac{\alpha}{\theta+\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - 1) \right\} dt \\ &+ rc_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \left\{ \frac{t\alpha}{\theta+\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{t\alpha}{\beta} (e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - 1) \right\} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{t\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{t\alpha}{\beta} (e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - 1) \right\} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \frac{t\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^{2}} - \frac{t_{0}^{2}}{2} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} - \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left\{ \frac{e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})}}{(\theta+\beta)} - t_{1} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left( \frac{e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})}}{(\theta+\beta)} - t_{1} \right) - \frac{t_{\alpha}\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left( \frac{e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})}}{(\theta+\beta)} - t_{\alpha} \right) \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left( \frac{1}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left( \frac{e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{t_{0}^{2}}{2} \right) \right] \\ &\text{Where } K_{1} = c_{1} \left[ \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\theta+\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1 \right) e^{-\beta(t-t_{0})} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1 \right) \right\} + dt \\ &+ \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}} \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left( e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1 \right) e^{\beta t_{0}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}}{2} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{\beta} \right] \\ &= K_{1} + rc_{1} \left[ -\frac{\alpha t_{0}}{\beta(\theta+\beta)} (e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - 1 \right) e^{\beta t_{0}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{\beta^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{\beta^{3}} + \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} \right] \\ &= K_{1} + rc_{1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} \right] \\ &= K_{1} + rc_{1} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{0})} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha t_{0}^{2}}{(\theta+$$

International Journal of Education and Science Research Reviewwww.ijesrr.orgVolume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

$$+ \frac{\alpha t_{d}}{\beta(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{2}(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha e^{\beta t_{d}}}{\beta^{2}(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha t_{d}}{\beta^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{d}^{2}}{\beta} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3}} + \frac{\alpha t_{d}^{2}}{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha e^{\beta t_{d}}}{\beta^{3}} \\ - \frac{\alpha t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{2(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{\alpha t_{d}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)^{3}} - \frac{\alpha t_{d}^{2}}{2(\theta+\beta)} ] \\ = K_{1} + rc_{1} \Biggl[ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} \Biggl\{ \frac{e^{\beta t_{d}} - 1}{\beta^{2}} - \frac{\theta t_{d}}{\beta(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{1}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} \Biggr\} \\ - \frac{\alpha \theta t_{d}}{\beta^{2}(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{\alpha(1-e^{\beta t_{d}})}{\beta^{2}(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta t_{d}^{2}}{2\beta(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3}}(1-e^{\beta t_{d}}) - \frac{\alpha t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{2(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{(\theta+\beta)^{3}} \Biggr] \\ Hc = K_{1} + rc_{1} \Biggl[ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} \Biggl\{ \frac{e^{\beta t_{d}} - 1}{\beta^{2}} - \frac{\theta t_{d}}{\beta(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{1}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} \Biggr\} \\ - \frac{\alpha \theta t_{d}}{\beta^{2}(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta(1-e^{\beta t_{d}})}{\beta^{3}(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta t_{d}^{2}}{2\beta(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha t_{1}^{2}}{2(\theta+\beta)} - \frac{\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)^{3}} \Biggr]$$
(11)

The deterioration cost per cycle we get

$$Dc = C_{2} \left[ I_{2}(t_{d})(1+rt) - \int_{t_{d}}^{t_{1}} D(t)(1+rt)dt \right]$$

$$= C_{2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+rt)}{(\theta+\beta)} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} - 1 \right] - \int_{t_{d}}^{t_{1}} \alpha + \frac{\beta\alpha}{(\theta+\beta)} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t)} - 1 \right] (1+rt)dt \right\}$$

$$= C_{2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+rt)}{(\theta+\beta)} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} - 1 \right] - \int_{t_{d}}^{t_{1}} \alpha + \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+\beta)} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t)} - 1 \right] dt \right\}$$

$$= C_{2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+rt)}{(\theta+\beta)} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} - 1 \right] - \alpha t_{1} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)} + \alpha t_{d} \right]$$

$$= C_{2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+rt)}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} \left[ e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})} - 1 \right] - \alpha t_{1} + \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)} + \alpha t_{d} \right]$$

$$- \frac{\alpha\beta e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha\beta t_{d}}{(\theta+\beta)} - r \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+\beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \right) t_{1}^{2} - (1+\alpha) \frac{t_{d}^{2}}{2} \right]$$

$$- \frac{\alpha\beta t_{1}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} + \frac{\alpha\beta e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})}}{(\theta+\beta)^{2}} - \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\theta+\beta)^{3}} + \frac{\alpha\beta e^{(\theta+\beta)(t_{1}-t_{d})}}{(\theta+\beta)^{3}} + \frac{\alpha\beta t_{d}}{(\theta+\beta)} \right] \right\}$$

$$(12)$$

The shortage cost per cycle due to partial backlogging is given by T

$$\begin{aligned} Sc &= c_{3} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} (1+rt)(-l_{3}(t)) dt \\ &= c_{3} \int_{t_{1}}^{T} (1+rt) \frac{\alpha}{\delta} \Big\{ ln \Big[ 1+\delta \big( T-t_{1} \big) \Big] - ln \Big[ 1+\delta \big( T-t \big) \Big] \Big\} dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha c_{3}}{\delta} \Bigg[ \int_{t_{1}}^{T} \Big\{ ln \Big[ 1+\delta \big( T+t_{1} \big) \Big] - ln \Big[ 1+\delta \big( T-t \big) \Big] \Big\} \Bigg] dt + \frac{c_{3} \alpha}{\delta} r \Bigg[ \int_{t_{1}}^{T} t \Big\{ ln \Big[ 1+\delta \big( T-t_{1} \big) \Big] \Big\} dt \end{aligned}$$

International Journal of Education and Science Research Reviewwww.ijesrr.orgVolume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

$$\begin{split} &-\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t)\right]\right\}dt\\ &= K_{2}+\frac{c_{3}\alpha r}{\delta}\left[\left(\frac{T^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]\right]\\ &-\frac{\delta}{2}\int_{t_{1}}^{T}\frac{t^{2}}{\left[1+\delta(T-t)\right]}\right]dt\\ &\text{Where }K_{2}=\frac{c_{3}\alpha}{\delta}\left\{\left(T-t_{1}\right)-\frac{\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}{\delta}\right\}\\ &= K_{2}+\frac{c_{3}\alpha r}{\delta}\left[\frac{\left(T^{2}-t_{1}^{2}\right)}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]\right]\\ &+\frac{\delta}{2}\int_{t_{1}}^{T}\left\{\frac{t}{\delta}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{T}{\delta}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}\left(1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right)}-\frac{2T}{\delta\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}-\frac{T^{2}}{\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}\right\}dt\right]\\ &K_{2}+\frac{C_{3}\alpha r}{\delta}\left[\frac{\left(T^{2}-t_{1}^{2}\right)}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{\delta}{2}\left\{\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2\delta}+\frac{T}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{T}{\delta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\delta^{2}}\frac{\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}{(-\delta)}-T^{2}\frac{\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}{(-\delta)}\right]_{t_{1}}\right\}\\ &K_{2}+\frac{C_{3}\alpha r}{\delta}\left[\frac{\left(T^{2}-t_{1}^{2}\right)}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{t_{2}^{2}}{2}\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]+\frac{\delta}{2}\left\{\frac{t^{2}}{2\delta}+\frac{T}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{T}{\delta^{2}}+\frac{T}{\delta^{2}}-\frac{1}{\delta}-\frac{\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}{\delta^{3}}-\frac{2T\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]}{\delta^{2}}\right]\\ &K_{2}=K_{2}+\frac{C_{3}\alpha r}{\delta}\left[\frac{3T^{2}}{4}+\frac{T}{2\delta}-\frac{T_{1}^{2}}{4}-\frac{t_{1}}{2\delta}-\frac{T_{1}}{2}-\left(\frac{1+2\delta T}{2\delta^{2}}\right)\ln\left[1+\delta(T-t_{1})\right]\right]....(13) \end{split}$$

The opportunity cost per cycle due to lost sales is given by

$$\begin{aligned} OC &= C_4 \int_{t_1}^{T} \alpha \Big[ 1 - \beta \big( T - t \big) \Big] \big( 1 + rt \big) dt \\ &= C_4 \int_{t_1}^{T} \alpha \Bigg[ 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \delta \big( T - t \big)} \Bigg] \big( 1 + rt \big) dt \\ &= C_4 \alpha \Bigg[ T - t_1 - \frac{\ln \Big[ 1 + \delta \big( T - t_1 \big) \Big]}{\delta} + r \int_{t_1}^{T} t \Bigg\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\Big[ 1 + \delta \big( T - t \big) \Big]} \Bigg\} \Bigg] dt \\ &= C_4 \alpha \Bigg\{ T - t_1 - \frac{\ln \Big[ 1 + \delta \big( T - t_1 \big) \Big]}{\delta} + \frac{r \Big[ T^2 - t_1^2 \Big]}{2} - r \Bigg[ \int_{t_1}^{T} - \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta \Big[ 1 + \delta \big( T - t \big) \Big]} \Bigg\} \Bigg] dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{T}{\left[1 + \delta(T - t)\right]} \right] dt \bigg\} \\ &= \alpha C_4 \left\{ T - t_1 \frac{\ln\left[1 + \delta(T - t_1)\right]}{\delta} + \frac{r\left(T^2 - t_1^2\right)}{2} - r\left[\frac{t_1 - T}{\delta} + \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{\delta^2}\right)\right] \right\} \\ &\ln\left[1 + \delta\left(T - t_1\right)\right] \bigg\} \\ &OC = \alpha C_4 \left\{ T - t_1 - \frac{\ln\left[1 + \delta(T - t_1)\right]}{\delta} + \frac{r\left(T^2 - t_1^2\right)}{2} - r\left[\frac{t_1 - T}{\delta} + \left(\frac{1 + \delta}{\delta^2}\right)\right] \\ &\ln\left[1 + \delta\left(T - t_1\right)\right] \bigg\} \end{split}$$

$$(.14)$$

Therefore the total variable cost per unit time is given by.

CVT  $(t_1, t) = \{ \text{Ordering cost} + \text{inventory holding cost} + \text{the deterioration cost} + \text{Shortage cost} + \text{opportunity cost} \} / T$ 

$$\begin{split} &= \left(A + HC + DC + SC + OC\right)/T.\\ &CVT(t_1, T) = \frac{1}{T} \Bigg[ A + K_1 + rc_1 \Bigg[ \frac{\alpha}{(\theta + \beta)} e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)} \left\{ \frac{e^{\beta t_d} - 1}{\beta^2} - \frac{\theta t_d}{\beta(\theta + \beta)} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{(\theta + \beta^2)} \Bigg\} - \frac{\alpha \theta t_d}{\beta^2(\theta + \beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta (1 - e^{\beta t_d})}{\beta^3(\theta + \beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta t_d^2}{2\beta(\theta + \beta)} - \frac{\alpha t_1}{(\theta + \beta)^2} \\ &- \frac{\alpha t_1^2}{2(\theta + \beta)} - \frac{\alpha \theta t_d}{\beta^2(\theta + \beta)^3} \Bigg] + C_2 \Bigg\{ \frac{\alpha(1 + rt)}{(\theta + \beta)} \Big[ e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)} - 1 \Big] - \alpha t_1 \\ &+ \frac{\alpha \beta}{(\theta + \beta)^2} + \frac{\alpha}{(\theta + \beta)} + \alpha t_d - \frac{\alpha \beta e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)}}{(\theta + \beta)^2} - \frac{\alpha \beta t_d}{(\theta + \beta)} \\ -r \Bigg[ \Bigg( \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha \beta}{(\theta + \beta)} + \frac{1}{2} \Bigg) t_1^2 - (1 + \alpha) \frac{t_d^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha \beta t_1}{(\theta + \beta)^2} + \frac{\alpha \beta e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)}}{(\theta + \beta)^2} \\ \\ &- \frac{\alpha \beta}{(\theta + \beta)^3} + \frac{\alpha \beta e^{(\theta + \beta)(t_1 - t_d)}}{(\theta + \beta)^3} + \frac{\alpha \beta t_d}{(\theta + \beta)} \Bigg] \Bigg\} + K_2 + \frac{C_3 \alpha r}{\delta} \Bigg\{ \frac{3T^2}{4} \\ &+ \frac{T}{2\delta} - \frac{T_1^2}{4} - \frac{T_1}{2\delta} + \frac{Tt_1}{2} - \Bigg( \frac{1 + 2\delta T}{2\delta^2} \Bigg) ln \Bigg[ 1 + \delta(T - t_1) \Bigg] \Bigg\} + \alpha C_4 \\ &\left\{ T - t_1 - \frac{ln [1 + \delta(T - t_1)]}{\delta} + \frac{r (T^2 - t_1^2)}{2} - r \Bigg[ \frac{t_1 - T}{\delta} + \left( \frac{1 + \delta}{\delta^2} \right) ln [1 + \delta(T - t_1) \Bigg] \Bigg\} . \end{split}$$

In this way we obtain total cost equation of the system in consideration. It is observed that the obtained total cost equation is highly non-linear transcendental equation. It can be solved numerically with the help of some suitable computational software like MATLAB or MATHEMATICA. After that we can obtain optimal values of time and thereafter-total cost of the system under discussion.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have considered an inventory model for the items having stock dependent demand. It was very obvious a fact that given some time, every item can create a niche for itself in the customer's mind, hence increasing its demand with the passage of time. Later with the advent of supermarkets, it was commonly acknowledged that vast displays of stocks induce the customer into buying more. Also it was noted that a decline in the level of displayed stock witnessed a decline in the customer's demand for that item. For a long time, stock dependent demands were not explored, although the dependence of the sale of any item on its selling price is not a new concept, but a common sense conclusion. It is a general observation that an increase in the selling price, in whatever form it may come, always notices a sudden In this paper we have also considered the factor of inflation with constant rate of inflation. This paper helps to determine an optimal replenishment policy for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with stock dependent demand and partial backlogging.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. A. C. Hax and D. Candea, Production and Inventory Management, Production and Inventory Management , Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cli\_s,NJ ,(1984).
- G. L. Alder and R. Nanda, The effects of learning on optimal lot size determination-single product case, AIIE Trans. 6 (1974) 14-20.
- 3. D. R. Sule, A note on production time variation in determining EMQ under influence of learning and forgetting, AIIE Trans. 13(1981) 91-95.
- 4. D. R. Sule, The e\_ect of a alternate periods learning and forgetting on economic manufacturing quantity, AIIE Trans. 10 (1978) 338-343.
- 5. S. Axster and S. E. Elmaghraby, A note on EMQ under learning and forgetting, AIIE Trans. 13 (1981) 86-90.
- 6. E. J. Muth and K. Spearmann, Learning e\_ects in economic lotsize, Mgmt Sci. 29 (1983) 264-269.
- 7. E. L. Proteus, Optimal lot sizing, process quality improvement, and setup cost reduction, Ops. Res. 34 (1986) 137-144.
- M. J. Rosenblatt and H. L. Lee, Economic production cycles with imperfect production processes, IIE Trans. 17 (1986) 48-54.208
- 9. T. C. Cheng, An economic order quantity model with demand dependent unit production cost and imperfect production processes, IIE Trans.23 (1991) 23-28.
- 10. P. J. Schweitzer and A. Seidman, Optimizing processing rates for flexible manufacturing systems, Mgmt. Sci. 37 (1991) 454-466.
- 11. M. Khouja and A. Mehrez, An economic production lot size model with imperfect quality and variable production rate, Opl. Res. Soc.45 (1994) 1405-1417.
- 12. M. Khouja, The economic production lot size model under volume flexibility, Computers Ops. Res. 22 (1995) 515-523.
- 13. E. A. Silver, Deliberately slowing down output in a family production context, Int. J. Prod. Res. 28 (1990) 17-27.
- I. Moon, G. Gallego and D. Simchi-levi, Controllable production rates in a family production context, Prod.Res.29 (1991) 2459-2470.
- 15. Bansal K.K., Ahlawat N. [2012] Integrated Inventory Models for Decaying Items with Exponential Demand under Inflation. *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (IJSCE)* 2[3] 578-587
- Bansal K.K. [2016] A Supply Chain Model With Shortages Under Inflationary Environment. Uncertain Supply Chain Management 4[4] 331-340
- 17. Sharma M.K., Bansal K.K. [2017] Inventory Model for Non-Instantaneous Decaying Items with Learning Effect under Partial Backlogging and Inflation. *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. vol. 13 [6] pp. 1999-2008
- Kumar A., Bansal K.K.[2014] A Deterministic Inventory Model for a Deteriorating Item Is Explored In an Inflationary Environment for an Infinite Planning Horizon. *International Journal of Education and Science Research Review1* [4] 79-86
- 19. G. Gallego, Reduced production rates in the economic lot scheduling problem, Int. J. Prod. Res. 31 (1993) 1035-1046.
- 20. E. A. Silver and R. Peterson, Decision Systems for Inventory Management and Production Planning, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn.(1985) 17. E. A. Silver, A simple inventory replenishment decision rule for a linear
- 21. trend in demand, Opl. Res. Soc.30 (1979) 71-75.
- 22. R. C. Baker and T. L. Urban, A deterministic inventory system with an inventory-level-dependent demand rate, Opl.Res. Soc.39 (1988) 823-831.
- 23. T. L. Urban, An inventory model with an inventory-level-dependent demand rate and relaxed terminal condition, Opl. Res. Soc. 43 (1992) 721-724.
- 24. M. Goh, EOQ models with general demand and holding cost functions, Euro. J. Oper. Res. 73 (1994) 50-54.
- 25. B. N. Mondal and S. Phaujder, An inventory model for deteriorating items and stock-dependent demand rate, Opl. Res. Soc. 40 (1989) 483-488.209

# International Journal of Education and Science Research Review www.ijesrr.org Volume-4, Issue-3 June - 2017

- 26. T. K. Datta and A. K. Pal, A note on an inventory model with inventory level-dependent demand rate, Opl.Res. Soc. 41 (1990) 971-975.
- 27. G. Zoutendijk, Nonlinear Programming: A Numerical Survey, SIAM J. Control. 4 (1966) 194-210.
- 28. B. C. Giri, S. Pal, A. Goswami and K. S. Chaudhuri, An inventory model for deteriorating items with stockdependent demand rate, Euro.J.Oper. Res.95 (1995) 604-610.
- 29. J. Roy and K. S. Chaudhuri, An EOQ model with stock-dependent demand, shortages, inflation and time discounting, Int. J. Prod. Eco. 53 (1997) 171-180.
- 30. J. Roy, A. Goswami and K. S. Chaudhuri, On an inventory model with two levels of storage and stock-dependent demand rate, Int. J.Systems. Sci.29 (1998) 249-254.
- 31. B. C. Giri and K. S. Chaudhuri, Deterministic models of perishable inventory with stock-dependent demand rate and nonlinear holding cost, Euro. J. Oper. Res.105 (1998) 467-474.
- 32. A. K. Sethi and P. S. Sethi, Flexible in Manufacturing: A Survey, Int. J. Flexible Manufact. Systems.2 (1990) 289-328.
- 33. Yang, G., Ronald, R.J. and Chu, P. (2005), Inventory models with variable lead time and present value, European Journal of Operational Research, 164, 358-366
- 34. Wu, K.S., Ouyang, L.Y. and Yang, C.T. (2006), An optimal replenishment policy for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with stock dependent demand and partial backlogging, International Journal of Production Economics, 101, 369-384.
- 35. Yang, H.L. (2006), Two-warehouse partial backlogging inventory models for deteriorating items under inflation, International Journal of Production Economics, 103(1), 362-370
- 36. Teng, J.T., Ouyang, L.Y. and Chen, L.H. (2007), A comparison between two pricing and lot-sizing models with partial backlogging and deteriorating items, International Journal of Production Economics, 105(1), 190-203.
- 37. Lo, S. T., Wee, H. M. and Huang, W. C. (2007), An integrated production inventory model with imperfect PRODUCTION process and Weibull distribution deterioration under inflation, International Journal of Production Economic, 106, 493-505.
- 38. .Roy, A, (2008). An inventory model for deteriorating items with price dependent demand and time varying holding cost. *Advanced Modeling and Optimization*, 10, 25-37
- 39. Skouri, K., Konstantaras, I., Papachristos, S., & Ganas, I. (2009). Inventory models with ramp type demand rate, partial backlogging and Weibull deterioration rate. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 192, 79-92.
- 40. He, Y., Wang, S.-Y., & Lai, K.K. (2010). An optimal production-inventory model for deteriorating items with multiple-market demand. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 203(3), 593-600.
- 41. Dye, C.-Y., & Ouyang, L.-Y. (2011). A particle swarm optimization for solving joint pricing and lot-sizing problem with fluctuating demand and trade credit financing. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 60(1), 127-137.
- 42. Leea Y.-P., & Dye, C.-Y. (2012). An inventory model for deteriorating items under stock-dependent demand and controllable deterioration rate. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 63(2), 474-482.
- 43. Maihami, R., & Kamalabadi, I.N. (2012). Joint pricing and inventory control for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with partial backlogging and time and price dependent demand. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 136(1), 116-122.